



Greg Seador

Partner

Washington DC

P: 202.689.1912

gseador@barclaydamon.com

Education

- Syracuse University College of Law, JD, *Summa Cum Laude*; *Syracuse Law Review*
- Eastern Kentucky University, BS

Practices & Industries

- Power & Energy Construction
- Commercial Litigation & Complex Trials
- Energy
- Construction & Surety

Admitted to Practice

- District of Columbia
- Pennsylvania

Court Admissions

- US Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit
- US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
- US Court of Federal Claims
- US District Court for the District of Columbia

Biography

Greg is an accomplished trial attorney with more than two decades of complex litigation and trial experience. He manages all aspects of complex commercial trial cases, with an emphasis on construction law.

Greg's primary goal is to help clients mitigate their risks and avoid litigation if possible. He has represented clients in multimillion-dollar arbitrations, mediations, and other forms of alternative dispute resolution (ADR). However, when necessary, Greg skillfully represents utilities, merchant energy owners, construction companies, construction project developers, and power plant companies through the entire complex litigation process.

He also has extensive experience in reviewing, drafting, and negotiating architect, construction, design-build, construction management, government, and international contracts and subcontracts.

Greg has a keen eye for detail, a passion for discovering how things work, and a strong curiosity, which help him master the complex and diverse industries of construction and power and energy construction. He quickly determines how his clients' complex projects are proceeding, and should proceed, and rectifies any gaps in the processes with inventive solutions.

Bar Associations

- American Bar Association, Litigation, Dispute Resolution, and Construction Sections, Member

Representative Experience

- Successfully represented a power plant owner in litigation involving the construction of four hydro turbine power plants on the Ohio River.
- Defended the owner against claims of over \$7 million made by an electrical contractor on a hydro project and asserted claims on behalf of the owner against the electrical contractor due to nonconforming work and delays. A favorable settlement of all claims was achieved resulting in the dismissal of the lawsuit.
- Successfully represented an owner of geothermal power plants in a dispute in which the owner pursued delay and performance liquidated damages claims totaling approximately \$2.85 million against the turbine manufacturer.
- Served as lead counsel in affirmative and defensive civil litigation related to internal revenue laws in federal district courts across the country.
- Negotiated non-prosecution agreements for several Swiss banks.
- Spearheaded obtaining an injunction against an enrolled agent accused of promoting a tax shelter that generated \$515 million in bogus tax deductions.
- Successfully obtained injunctions against Georgia tax return preparers and their businesses, whose fraudulent conduct caused \$108 million in harm to the government.

Prior Experience

- Shapiro, Lifschitz & Schram, Vice President, Senior Trial Lawyer
- US Department of Justice, Senior Trial Attorney
- Latham & Watkins, Senior Associate
- Howrey LLP, Associate

Selected Honors

- Syracuse University College of Law, Order of the Coif, 2001

Selected Media

- *ACC Docket*, “To Litigate or Not to Litigate?”
- Shapiro, Lifschitz & Schram, “SLS Trial Attorneys – This Is How We Do It”
- *Construction Executive*, “Select the Best Contract Model to Mitigate Risk and Achieve Energy Project Success”
- *Construction Today*, “In the Crosshairs: Here Are Steps to Consider If Your Business Becomes the Subject of an IRS Audit”
- *Risk Management*, “Avoiding Construction Project Disputes”

Selected Must Know Minute®

- What Happens When the Fire Marshal Strikes? Considerations for Contractors Navigating Delays
- What to Consider When Determining if Litigation Should Be Pursued Following a Dispute
- Mitigating Risk and Understanding EPC Contract Models